

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

gr

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/632,322	08/01/2003	Munenori Oizumi	TI-35909	5221
	7590 12/14/200 LUMENTS INCORPOL	EXAMINER		
P O BOX 6554	74, M/S 3999	ROSARIO, DENNIS		
DALLAS, TX 75265			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2624	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/14/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@ti.com uspto@dlemail.itg.ti.com

Office Action Summary    Application No.   10/632,322							
Examiner Dennis Rosardo  - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a neply be timely filed after 30't, 00'kNTHS from the mailing date for the communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended panied for rinely will by statule. Cause the application to become ABANDONED (38 U.S. £ § 133). Any reply needed by the Office the than there mining after the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended panied for rinely will by statule. Cause the application, even if timely filed, may reduce any searched patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.794(b).  Status  1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Appeal Brief 9/21/07.  2a) □ This action is FINAL.  2b) □ This action is non-final.  3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) □ Claim(s) 1.5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) 5.5 is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) □ The drawing(s) filed on 0.1 August 2003 is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin	Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Dennis Rosario  ASHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Hell Period to many be available used the Mailing Dennis Period with apply and will expire SIX (3) MONTHS from the maling date of this communication. Failute to the obsernal ASHADNED IS U. S. C. § 130. Any reduce any extended plant for review will be status. Cause the septication to become ASHADNED IS U. S. C. § 130. Any reduce any extended plant for received by which the service become ASHADNED IS U. S. C. § 130. Any reduce any extended plant for received by which plant is a closed of this communication, even if timely flad, may reduce any extended plant for received by which plant is a closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C. D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  5) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application of t			10/632,322	OIZUMI ET AL.			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extentions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after 3X (5) MORNIS from the mailing after of this communication.  Failute or per by whith the set or extended period for reply will by statistic, use the pedication to become ARANDHODIS (30 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the making date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any seared patter time adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.74(b).  Status  1) ☑ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Appeal Brief 9/21/07.  2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.  2b) ☑ Claim(s) 1.5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is a safer withdrawn from consideration.  5b) ☐ Claim(s) 1.5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) ○ Claim(s) 1.5 is/are application is in condition of requirement.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12 ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ☐ . ☐			Examiner	Art Unit			
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of limit may be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.35(d). In or event, however, may a reply be timely field  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maintain astation precise and apply and will experience \$X (s) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stabile, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply recited by the Office later than three mornism after the mailing date of this communication, even if smely fised, may reduce any carried plaint time adjustment. See 37 CPR 1.704(b).  Status  1) Responsive to communication(s) filled on Appeal Brief 9/21/07.  2a) This action is FINAL.  2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  7) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  8) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12(a).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b				l l			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEWER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Extensions of time may be a valiable under the proteins of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In or event, however, may a reply be timely filled after 50 (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to neply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDED (58 U.S.C. § 1.53). Any reply received by the Office later has thee months after the mailing date of this communication.  Failure to neply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute, cause the application to become ABANDED (58 U.S.C. § 1.53). Any reply received by the Office later has thee months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any seared patient term adjustment. Set 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status  1)  Responsive to communication(s) filled on Appeal Brief 9/21/07.  2a)  This action is FINAL.  2b)  This action is non-final.  3)  Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)  Claim(s)  1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s)  is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s)  5-5 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s)  is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s)  -5 is/are rejected.  7)  The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application Papers  9)  The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Application may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in aboyance. See 37 CFR 1.18(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.12(d).  11)  Acknowledgment is made of a clai	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Appeal Brief 9/21/07.</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parfe Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4)☑ Claim(s) ½ si/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to	A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failur Any r	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA isions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
2a)  This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.  3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) is/are objected to perstriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Status	•		•			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 7) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on <i>Q1 August 2003</i> is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Appe	al Brief 9/21/07.				
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	2a) <u></u> □						
Disposition of Claims  4) ○ Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ○ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ○ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ○ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
4) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some or DN None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.		closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ☒ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.  Application Papers  9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.	Dispositi	on of Claims					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
10) ⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 01 August 2003 is/are: a) ⊠ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.  Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	Application Papers						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	10)🖾	The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 August 2003</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.  Attachment(s)  1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)	Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)	12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other:	1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te			

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Appeal Brief

1. In view of the appeal brief filed on 9/21/07, PROSECUTION IS HEREBY REOPENED. A new grounds of rejection is set forth below.

To avoid abandonment of the application, appellant must exercise one of the following two options:

- (1) file a reply under 37 CFR 1.111 (if this Office action is non-final) or a reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (if this Office action is final); or,
- (2) initiate a new appeal by filing a notice of appeal under 37 CFR 41.31 followed by an appeal brief under 37 CFR 41.37. The previously paid notice of appeal fee and appeal brief fee can be applied to the new appeal. If, however, the appeal fees set forth in 37 CFR 41.20 have been increased since they were previously paid, then appellant must pay the difference between the increased fees and the amount previously paid.

A Supervisory Patent Examiner (SPE) has approved of reopening prosecution by signing below.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Due to the appeal brief, the 35 USC 112 rejection of claims 1-5 are withdrawn.

### Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments, see page 4 or the appeal brief with respect to "step (c) no patentable weight" the rejections of claims 1,2 under Belykh have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Tourtier et al. (US Patent 5,446,495) in view of Garakani et al. (US Patent Application Publication No.: US 2003/0185450 A1) further in view of Burel et al. (US Patent 5,321,771).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tourtier et al. (US Patent 5,446,495) in view of Garakani et al. (US Patent Application Publication No.: US 2003/0185450 A1) further in view of Burel et al. (US Patent 5,321,771).

Regarding claim 1, Tourtier teaches a method of image filtering, comprising:

- (a) computing a correlation (via fig. 5,num. 1 is based on a "correlation" in col. 1, line 64 to col. 2, line 1) in a first direction (corresponding to an associated "motion vector" in col. 4, line 38 that includes a direction known to one of ordinary skill in vectors) for each pixel in an image (represented as the output of fig. 5,num. 1);
- (b) filtering (via fig. 5,num. 6) said image (output of fig. 5,num. 1) with a lowpass filter ("low-pass" in col. 5, line 55 which corresponds to fig. 5, num. 6); and
- (c) interpolating (via fig. 5, numerals 4,5,6 and 7 which are also an "interpolation filters" in col. 6, line 49) said image (output of fig. 5,num. 1) and said filtered image (represented as the output of fig. 5,num. 6) from step (b) wherein said interpolating at said each pixel depends (since said interpolations 6 and 7 are based said fig. 5,num. 1) upon said modified auto-correlation (fig. 5,num. 1 that is based on the determined correlation) in a first direction (or said motion vector as determined in fig. 5,num. 1).

Tourtier does not teach the claimed "modified auto-correlation," but teaches using "correlation" in col. 2, line 1 to find a motion vector.

Garakani teaches using autocorrelation (corresponding to fig. 3,num. 302 that is equivalent to "autocorrelation" in paragraph [0131], line 11) to find a motion vector ("motion vectors" in [0190], line 1 and represented in fig. 3 as numeral 303. Note that in order to find a motion vector via the "Co-Locomotion Module" in [0186], autocorrelation is performed first because the motion vector or said co-locomition module that finds the motion vector "depends...on an attentive acquisition sub-system [as shown in fig. 3, numerals 304,307,209 and 301] " in [0189], lines 5,6) which in turn depends on autocorrelation as represented in fig. 3 as num. 302).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Tourtier's teaching of correlation to find a vector with Garakani's teaching of finding a vector using autocorrelation, because Garkani's autocorrelation provides "automated detection...of the event of interest" in [0130], lines 26,27;thus, alleviating a "human observer" in [0130], line 1 from detecting an event of interest over an "18-day" in [0130], line 19 observation of the event of interest.

The combination of Tourtier and Garakani still does not teach the claimed "modified auto-correlation," but Garakani of the combination teaches that autocorrelation is "well known" in [0132], line 9.

Burel teaches the claimed "modified auto-correlation" in fig. 6: "Computation of the modified autocorrelation."

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Garakani's autocorrelation with Burel's modified autocorrelation, because Burel's modified autocorrelation is used to provide a "simpl[e]" in col. 7, line 42 classification which helps Garakani's goal of classifying using "classes (Garakani, [0112], line 5]."

Regarding claim 5, Garakani teaches:

(a) said image is a color channel ("chromatic channel" in [0122], line 7) of a color image.

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tourtier et al. (US Patent 5,446,495) in view of Garakani et al. (US Patent Application Publication No.: US 2003/0185450 A1) further in view of Burel et al. (US Patent 5,321,771) as applied in claim 1, above, further in view of Burel (US Patent 5,321,771). Regarding claim 2, Tourtier of the combination teaches:

a) said image of step (c) (output of fig. 5,num. 1) replaced by said interpolated image (via fig. 5,numerals 6 and 7) using said modified auto-correlation (or correlation) in a first direction; and does not teach the claimed "modified auto-correlation" and "first direction" but teaches correlation.

Burel of the combination teaches the claimed "first direction" or "horizontal correla-tion" in col. 3, lines 52, 53 and the remaining limitation of claim 2 of:

a) said first direction (said horizontal correlation) replaced by a second direction ("vertical movement" in col. 3, line 54), said second direction perpendicular to said first direction.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Tourtier's correlation with Burel's horizontal correlation which is the claimed "modified auto-correlation" for the same reasons as claim 1, above.

7. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tourtier et al. (US Patent 5,446,495) in view of Garakani et al. (US Patent Application Publication No.: US 2003/0185450 A1) further in view of Burel et al. (US Patent 5,321,771) as applied in claim 1, above, further in view of Kim et al. (US Patent 5,544,658).

Regarding claim 3, Garakani of the combination teaches that autocorrelation is well known.

Kim teaches "modified auto correlation" in col. 3, line 17 and claim 3 of

(a) said modified auto-correlation ("modified auto correlation" in col. 3, line 17) of step (a) of claim 1 is  $Rxx(1)/(Rxx(0) + \delta)$  (see equation "(1)" in column 3 and equation (9) in column 8) where Rxx(.) is the auto-correlation function for the pixel values in an interval about said each pixel and with the DC component removed (via fig. 5,num. 34), and where  $\delta$  is a parameter (or "variables" in col. 8, line 22).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Garakani's teaching of auto-correlation with Kim's teaching of the modified auto-correlation, because Kim's modified auto-correlation remedies the deficiencies of auto-correlation with respect to "aliasing" in col. 3, line 9 or noise.

8. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tourtier et al. (US Patent 5,446,495) in view of Garakani et al. (US Patent Application Publication No.: US 2003/0185450 A1) further in view of Burel et al. (US Patent 5,321,771) further in view of Kim et al. (US Patent 5,544,658) as applied in claim 3, above, further in view of Hall et al. (US Patent 5,363,851).

Regarding claim 4, the combination does not teach claim 4, but Garakani teaches that auto-correlation is well known.

Hall teach a modified auto-correlation as shown in fig. 4, num. 60 and claim 4 of:

a)  $Rxx(1)/(Rxx(0) + \delta)$  (as shown in fig. 4,num. 60) exceeds a threshold (fig. 4,num. 68).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Garakani's teaching of auto-correlation with Hall's modified auto-correlation and threshold, because Hall teaching provides "accurate velocity estimation" in col. 2, line 12.

Application/Control Number:

10/632,322 Art Unit: 2624 Page 10

#### Conclusion

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dennis Rosario whose telephone number is (571) 272-7397. The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Matthew Bella can be reached on (571) 272-7778. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Dennis Rosario Unit 2624

MATTHEW C. BELLA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600